Analysis of arterial mechanics during head down tilt bed rest

Morgan Elliott, *Saint Louis University*

David Martin, *Wyle Science, Technology, and Engineering Group*

Steven Platts, Ph.D., *NASA Johnson Space Center*

Cardiovascular Laboratory



Introduction

- Hometown: Chattanooga, TN
- Career Goals:
  - Ph.D. in Biomedical Engineering, specializing in Tissue Engineering
  - Product oriented research in industry or government
- Why NASA? Mission and deliverables oriented
- Internship Objectives:
  - Data Analysis
  - Poster presentation at BMES Annual Meeting
  - Publication



Background

Cardiovascular Lab

- Investigate how weightlessness affects the cardiovascular system to aid in the improvement of astronaut health, develop countermeasures, and potentially benefit other populations on Earth
- Tests: head-down tilt bed rest (HDTBR), parabolic flight, hypovolemia models, and spaceflight

My Role

- Project 1: Define the frequency and pattern of mid-ventricular obstruction in the heart during high intensity exercise in a hypovolemic state
- Project 2: Analysis of arterial mechanics during HDTBR

Arterial Mechanics

- HDTBR
  - Physiological deconditioning similar to space
  - -6° head down
  - Ground based
- Days analyzed: BR-5, BR60, BR+3





Arterial Mechanics

- 3 arteries analyzed

Carotid Artery – 13 subjects (7M, 6F, mean age 35±8, weight 71±10 kg, and height 168±9 cm)

Brachial and Tibial Arteries – 11 different subjects (8M, 3F, mean age 34±9, weight 74±16 kg, and height 170±9 cm)





Arterial Mechanics Cont.

- Intima-Media Thickness (IMT)

- Mechanical Properties

  Strain $\left[\frac{(SD-DD)}{DD}\right]$

  Distensibility Coefficient (DC) $\left[\frac{2}{PP^2 * DD}\right]$

  Stiffness (β) $\left[ln\left(\frac{SBP}{DBP}\right) * \frac{DD}{(SD-DD)}\right]$

  Pressure-Strain Elastic Modulus (PSE) $\left[0.1333 * PP * \frac{DD}{(SD-DD)}\right]$



Arterial Mechanics Results



Figure 1. Carotid IMT margins were significantly thicker than the brachial and tibial IMT ($p < 0.001$). The tibial IMT decreased relative to the brachial response from BR -5 to BR 60 and BR+3 ($p < 0.05$). The tibial IMT was thinner on BR60 ($p < 0.001$) and did not recover by BR+3 ($p = 0.02$). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Arterial Mechanics Results Cont.



Figure 2. The tibial artery trended towards increased DC (p = 0.1) from BR-5 to BR+3. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 3. The tibial artery trended towards decreased stiffness (p = 0.06) from BR-5 to BR+3. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Arterial Mechanics Results Cont.



Figure 4. The tibial artery trended towards smaller moduli (p = 0.1) from BR-5 to BR+3. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 5. Strain margins are not significantly different between days of bed rest within vessels. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Arterial Mechanics Discussion

- Carotid, brachial, and tibial arteries react differently to HDTBR as a ground based analog of spaceflight.
- After slight variations during bed-rest, arterial mechanical properties and IMT return to pre-bed rest values. This does not appear to be true for the tibial stiffness and PSE, which continue to decrease post-bed rest while the DC increases.
- Limitations:
  - Small n value
  - Boundary determination methods
  - Small measurement differences
  - Single, non-blinded analysis

Acknowledgements

- Minority University Research and Education Program for funding
- David Martin for answering all my questions and his guidance
- Dr. Mike Stenger and Dr. Steve Platts for their guidance
- Chris Westby for his statistics aid
- Sydney Stein for the virtual model
- Cardiovascular lab staff for all their help and support
- Dr. Lauren Merkle for an amazing experience with SLSSI
- Missy Matthias and Diego Rodriguez for organizing the intern program

Sources

Aubert, A.E., F. Beckers, and B. Verheyden, *Cardiovascular function and basics of physiology in microgravity.* Acta cardiologica, 2005. **60**(2): p. 129-151.

Godia, Elisa Cuadrado, et al., *Carotid artery distensibility a reliability study.* Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine, 2007. 26(9): p. 1157-1165.

Haluska, B., et al., *Derivation of the distensibility coefficient using tissue Doppler as a marker of arterial function.* Clinical Science, 2008. **114**: p. 441-447.

Hargens, A.R., R. Bhattacharya, and S.M. Schneider, *Space physiology VI: exercise, artificial gravity, and countermeasure development for prolonged space flight.* European journal of applied physiology, 2013. **113**(9): p. 2183-2192.

Meck, J.V., S.A. Dreyer, and L.E.Warren., *Long-duration head-down bed rest: project overview, vital signs, and fluid balance*. Aviation, space, and environmental medicine, 2009. 80(5): p. A1-A8.

Norsk, P., *Blood pressure regulation IV: adaptive responses to weightlessness.* European journal of applied physiology, 2014: p. 481-497.

O'Rourke, M.F., et al. *Clinical applications of arterial stiffness; definitions and reference values*. American journal of hypertension, 2002. 15(5): p. 426-444.

Tuday, E.C., et al., *Microgravity-induced changes in aortic stiffness and their role in orthostatic intolerance.* Journal of Applied Physiology, 2007. 102(3): p. 853-858.

Van Bortel, L.M., M.J. Kool, and H.A. Struijker Boudier, *Effects of Antihypertensive Agents on Local Arterial Distensibility and Compliance.* Hypertension, 1995. **26**(3): p. 531-534.


NASA